ERSTE

ROMANIA SPECIAL REPORT

Shooting for the (r) stars: The neutral interest rate in Romania

Vlad Nicolae Ionita

March 2024

Key findings and market implications (1):

- A traditional Taylor Rule model shows that monetary policy response to inflation in Romania as well as output gap shocks are moderate and equally powerful.
- The FX component of the Taylor Rule is significant and with the correct sign, showing that national currency stability is an important factor in the NBR's reaction function.
- The neutral nominal rate in Romania has been falling since the start of inflation targeting in 2005. The Taylor Rule clearly shows that interest rates peaked in 2022 and have been on a clear downward path ever since. Furthermore, the model estimates a long-term neutral nominal rate of around 3.9%, which is the equivalent of approx. 1.4% real.
- Using a more sophisticated model (i.e. New York FED'S HLW model), the real neutral interest rate in Romania is estimated currently at around 1.5% (1.7% 2023 average) and the historical mean at 1.2%. This implies a neutral nominal rate between 4.00% and 4.50%. In the past decade, the NBR real effective rate was below the neutral rate and only over the past year climbed above the neutral mark.



Key findings and market implications (2):

- The relevant monetary policy rate in Romania is currently the 6.00% deposit facility in the context of the abundant liquidity surplus in the banking market, and short-term rates are all aligned to it, with short-term yields posting a very tight spread historically speaking.
- Monetary policy effects can be measured though broad money (M3); our analysis indicates that, due to recent monetary policy tightening, the annual rate of change of M3 slowed down significantly in 2H22 and 1H23, but began to gain momentum in subsequent quarters, mainly driven by a rise in term deposits (both corporate and households). Looking at counterparts, net external assets have been the make-or-break factor for the M3 development in the past 1-2 years, coupled with a slowdown in lending. The evolution of M3 is closely related with inflation, as Milton Friedman once said: 'inflation is a monetary phenomenon.'
- The expected continuation of the disinflation process in 2024 should support rate cuts this year and we can already see markets pricing in this expectation.
- We expect the first NBR rate cut in May-24 of 25bp, and we see gradual cuts of 25bp each throughout the year, bringing the key rate to 5.75% by year-end, from 7.00% currently. Nevertheless, NBR decisions should be highly dependent on the pace of the disinflation process.





Monetary policy response to inflation

Looking at the key rate evolution throughout the recent high inflationary environment, we see that the NBR preferred to raise the key interest rate gradually in small incremental steps. The key interest rate peaked at 7.00% in Jan-23 and remains at this level to this day. This represents a 450bp hike since the outbreak of the war in Ukraine. Moving forward, we expect the first interest rate cut to take place in May-24 of 25bp and we expect gradual 25bp cuts throughout 2024, bringing the key rate to 5.75% by the end of the year. This is supported by a disinflation process, which has been ongoing for several months now (exept the anticipated temporary uptick in January, driven by higher indirect taxes), as well as similar expected developments from peer banks and major central banks.



What is the relevant rate currently?

The 6.00% deposit facility has been the relevant monetary policy instrument for quite a while now. With an abundant liquidity surplus in the banking system parked at the NBR deposit facility, the short-term rates have aligned to the 6.00% deposit facility level and currently gravitate around this value. The surplus liquidity reached an all-time high in Jan-24 of about RON 60.7bn (daily average stock) and has been at historically high levels since the start of 2023. The effective NBR rate is computed as the weighted average rate of monetary operations and standing facilities based on daily average stocks. Its value has been at 6.00% since Jan-23.



Short end of curve anticipating rate cuts

Historically speaking, the spread between the
2Y ROMGBs yield and the effective NBR rate has
been above 100bp. However, recently, the
situation changed quite a bit and the spread is
now negative, after gravitating for a while
around low double-digit values. What that
might imply is that markets are expecting rate
cuts in the near future, which will bring the
effective NBR rate lower. In general, all shortterm rates seem to be aligned at the 6.00%
deposit facility, influenced to a large extent by
the abundant liquidity in the banking system.

We expect the spread to move toward the historical mean value in the future.

Taylor Rule: From theory to practice





Two model specifications for estimating Taylor Rule

- The classical Taylor Rule as proposed by John Taylor in 1993 says that interest rates can be explained essentially by four factors: core inflation deviation from the target, the output gap, the nominal effective exchange rate and a constant term representing the neutral nominal rate (the sum of the neutral real rate and inflation target).
- Estimating the model for Romania using data from 1Q05 to 4Q23, the neutral nominal rate (the constant term) stands at 4.8, which is the equivalent of a real rate of around 2.3% (minus the 2.5% inflation target rate).
- Worth mentioning is that the NEER is significant and with the correct sign, indicating that the exchange rate does matter in the NBR reaction function.
- The classical specification of the Taylor Rule has ceased to work as a descriptive analysis of monetary policy for over two decades. One of the main problems with the original specification is that lagged interest rates usually enter with a significant and large coefficient in the equation. This suggest that interest rate smoothing plays an important role in central bank policy.
- In the more recent literature, the Taylor Rule is estimated including a lag of the interest rate as a regressor. This model specification does a much better job of fitting the observed data.



Note: The methodology used closely follows: Kevin Daly (2023), "Taylor Made — What Drives Monetary Policy in the CEE-4?", Goldman Sachs research papers $i_t = r^* + \pi^* + \beta_1(\pi_t - \pi^*) + \beta_2(y_t - y_t^*) + \beta_3 NEERyoy_t + \epsilon_t$

Variable	Coefficient
Long term nominal rate (constant)	4.82***
Core inflation deviations from target	0.33***
Output Gap	0.39***
NEER y/y growth rate	-0.16***
R Squared	0.36

The stars show significance at the 10% (*), 5% (**) and 1% (***) level

$i_t =$	$\rho i_{t-1} +$	r^* +	$\pi^* + \beta_1(\pi_t -$	π^*) + $\beta_2(y_t - \beta_2)$	$(-y_t^*) + \beta_3$	$_{3}NEERyoy_{t} + \epsilon_{t}$
---------	------------------	---------	---------------------------	--------------------------------------	----------------------	----------------------------------

Variable	Coefficient
Long term nominal rate (constant)	0.83***
Lagged Rate	0.79***
Core inflation deviations from target	0.12***
Output Gap	0.13***
NEER y/y growth rate	-0.1 <mark>0</mark> ***
R Squared	0.92

The stars show significance at the 10% (*), 5% (**) and 1% (***) level

NBR seems to respond in equal amplitude to deviations of inflation and output gap

- The Taylor Rule principle states that the nominal interest rate should be raised more than one for one when inflation rises. In this regard, our results for Romania indicate that the relation is less than one to one, which indicates a more prudent monetary policy approach employed by the NBR. This is in line with empirical evidence.
- The response to the deviations in output gap based on our results is in line with theory, as the original Taylor Rule for the US had a coefficient at around 0.5 and our results show a sensitivity of around 0.6.
- The monetary policy response to fluctuations of exchange rate in Romania is also significant. In theory, central banks increase their interest rates following a depreciation, limiting the inflationary pressure coming from imported inflation.
- The constant term provides an estimate of the long-term neutral nominal rate. Our results for Romania indicate a neutral rate of around 3.9% in nominal terms, which is about 1.4% in real terms (minus the 2.5% inflation target rate).
- The Taylor Rule is particularly sensitive to the output gap used in the model. In our case, we used an average between the EC output gap and the output gap obtained with a Kalman filter following the model introduced by Clark (1987).

Long-run responses						
Long Term Nominal Rate - Constant	3.9					
Core Inflation Deviations From Target	0.6					
Output Gap	0.6					
NEER y/y growth rate	-0.5					

Note: The long-run responses are based on the coefficient of the lagged interest rate of the second specification of the Taylor Rule:

Long-term response to $X_i = \frac{\beta_i}{1-\rho}$; where X is the regressor



Monetary policy through Taylor Rule: What can we learn?



The constant term of the Taylor Rule provides an estimate of the long-term neutral nominal rate (sum of the neutral real rate and inflation target). Estimating an 8-year rolling regression based on the classical Taylor Rule equation, we find that the neutral rate has declined over the years, but has stabilized in the past five years. With trend growth estimated between 3% and 4%, the neutral nominal rate has been slightly below the trend growth starting from 2017.



Both model specifications fit the data relatively well, but the lagged model does a much better job at explaining policy developments. By nature, the Taylor Rule estimation is a backward-looking exercise with limited capabilities to determine where rates should be in the present, but one conclusion can be drawn: rates in Romania peaked at the end of 2022 and are now on a downward path. This is shown by both model specifications.

Estimating R*: Holston-Laubach-Williams (HLW) Model



60 36 B(\ = 2 Η D2 2 =FI •l +XA L\ ı

X35 ZT

Neutral real interest rate (r*) estimated at 1.5% in Romania based on HLW model



The Neutral Rate in the United States is estimated at around +0.8% and the trend growth at around +2.4% in the second half of 2023. The neutral rate reached the peak in post-Covid, reopening at around +1.5% in 4Q21, and has been declining in recent quarters.



Source: NY FED

The Neutral Rate in the Euro Area is estimated at +0.5% in 3Q23. Since the start of the war in 1Q22, the neutral rate has been rising and peaked at +0.7% in 4Q22. It has been gradually falling ever since, with the historical average standing at around +0.7%. The trend growth is estimated at around +1.1%.



Source: BCR Research Estimates

R star in Romania estimated at around +1.5% currently. The historical mean stands at around +1.2%. This implies a nominal interest rate of around 4.0%, which gives an idea regarding how much room the NBR has to cut rates before the monetary policy becomes procyclical. The trend growth is estimated between 3% and 4%.





⁶⁰⁰ Finding interest rate⁵⁰⁰ balance

- In the last decade, the real effective rate of the
 NBR has been well below the neutral rate,
 suggesting that monetary policy was supportive
- ³⁰⁰ for economic growth. This has been the case around the world, as interest rates after the
- ²⁰⁰ Great Financial Crises were set at particularly low levels in order to support the recovery and
- boost potential growth. The recent inflationary episode caused a sudden change and the NBR
 real effective rate went well above the neutral
 - rate. Looking into the future, it seems that the era of low interest rates is most likely behind –
- as stated numerous times by prominent figures of the financial world - and probably the most
- important thing at the moment is assessing how much room the central banks have to cut rates.
 Based on our estimates, the neutral nominal rate for the NBR should be between 4.00% and 4.50%.



Note: the neutral rate is estimated using HLW model and the real effective NBR interest rate is calculated as nominal EFFECTIVE rate minus inflation target

Broad Money (M3) and monetary policy







Broad money (M3) under microscope

As has been the case around the world. M3 registered a sharp increase during the COVID-19 pandemic, which is attributed mainly to the large growth of both corporate and retail sight deposits. Once the energy shock kicked in at the start of 2022 and monetary conditions tightened, due to a surge in inflation, the annual growth rate of M3 slowed down significantly. In the following months, M3 reentered into an expansionary trajectory, but this time the growth is attributed to a rise in term deposits, while sight deposits had a negative contribution. This was to be expected, as higher interest rates made this type of financial product more attractive for clients.

Source: NBR, BCR Research



But what about counterparts?

Looking at broad money (M3) through the lens of its counterparts, we can analyze the evolution through the asset's perspective. The following conclusions can be drawn: i) during the pandemic, the annual growth of M3 can be attributed mostly to a surge in net external assets; ii) the remaining counterpart component (which is mostly composed of fixed assets and securities) took a hit, due to monetary tightening, which, along with a significant lower growth rate from net external assets were mainly responsible for the drop in M3 growth toward the end of 2022; iii) lending slowed down significantly in 2023 and the contribution to the annual growth rate of M3 was about half of what it was in the past 2-3 years.

Note: remaining counterparts include among other fixed assets and securities

Macro reports & forecasts are publicly available on Erste Group's website and our mobile applications

Open in your browser



On your **mobile**



CEE



CEE Macro Outlook, 13.06.2023

2023 GDP growth in CEE: starring investment and net exports.

We revise our 2023 GDP forecast to 1.3% (CEE8 average), driven by upward revision of growth in Croatia and Poland. Czechia and Hungary went through a...

More PDF



Scan our QR code



Visit our research page: erstegroup.com/en/research



Contacts

Visit <u>www.erstegroup.com</u> or type on Bloomberg <u>ESTE <GO></u>

Erste Group Research (Vienna)

Fritz Mostböck, CEFA®, CESGA® Head of Group Research +43 5 0100 11902 friedrich.mostboeck@erstegroup.com Juraj Kotian Head of CEE Macro/ FI Research +43 5 0100 17357 juraj.kotian@erstegroup.com

Henning Eßkuchen Head of CEE Equity Research +43 5 0100 19634 henning.esskuchen@erstegroup.com Gudrun Egger, CEFA® Head of Major Markets & Credit Research +43 5 0100 11909 gudrun.egger@erstegroup.com

Local Research Offices

Bratislava Slovenska Sporitelna Head: Maria Valachyova +421 (2) 4862 4158 valachyova.maria@slsp.sk

Prague Ceska Sporitelna Head: David Navratil +420 224 995 439

DNavratil@csas.cz

Budapest Erste Bank Hungary Head: Jozsef Miro +36 (1) 235 5131 Jozsef.Miro@erstebroker.hu

Warsaw Erste Securities Polska Head: Cezary Bernatek +48 22 257 5751 Cezary.Bernatek@erstegroup.com Bucharest Banca Comerciala Romana (BCR) Head: Ciprian Dascalu +40 3735 10 424 ciprian.dascalu@bcr.ro

Zagreb/ Belgrade Erste Bank Heads: Alen Kovac, Mladen Dodig +385 72 37 1383, +381 1122 09178 akovac2@erstebank.com Mladen.Dodig@erstebank.rs



Disclaimer

This publication was prepared by Erste Group Bank AG or any of its consolidated subsidiaries (together with consolidated subsidiaries "Erste Group") independently and objectively as other information pursuant to the Circular of the Austrian Financial Market Authority regarding information including marketing communication pursuant to the Austrian Securities Supervision Act. This publication serves interested investors as additional source of information and provides general information, information about product features or macroeconomic information without emphasizing product selling marketing statements. This publication does not constitute marketing communication pursuant to Art. 36 (2) Austrian Securities Supervision Act as no direct buying incentives were included in this publication, which is of information character. This publication does not constitute investment research pursuant to § 36 (1) Austrian Securities Supervision Act. It has not been prepared in accordance with legal requirements designed to promote the independence of investment research and it is not subject to the prohibition on dealing ahead of the dissemination of investment research. The information only serves as non-binding and additional information and is based on the level of knowledge of the person in charge of drawing up the information on the respective date of its preparation. The content of the publication can be changed at any time without notice. This publication does not constitute or form part of, and should not be construed as, an offer, recommendation or invitation to subscribe for or purchase any securities, and neither this publication nor anything contained herein shall form the basis of or be relied on in connection with or act as an inducement to enter into any contract or inclusion of a security or financial product in a trading strategy. Information provided in this publication are based on publicly available sources which Erste Group considers as reliable, however, without verifying any such information by independent third persons. While all reasonable care has been taken to ensure that the facts stated herein are accurate and that the forecasts, opinions and expectations contained herein are fair and reasonable, Erste Group (including its representatives and employees) neither expressly nor tacitly makes any guarantee as to or assumes any liability for the up-to-dateness, completeness and correctness of the content of this publication. Erste Group may provide hyperlinks to websites of entities mentioned in this document, however the inclusion of a link does not imply that Erste Group endorses, recommends or approves any material on the linked page or accessible from it. Neither a company of Erste Group nor any of its respective managing directors, supervisory board members, executive board members, directors, officers of other employees shall be in any way liable for any costs, losses or damages (including subsequent damages, indirect damages and loss of profit) howsoever arising from the use of or reliance on this publication. Any opinion, estimate or projection expressed in this publication reflects the current judgment of the author(s) on the date of publication of this document and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of Erste Group. They are subject to change without prior notice. Erste Group has no obligation to update, modify or amend this publication or to otherwise notify a reader thereof in the event that any matter stated herein, or any opinion, projection, forecast or estimate set forth herein, changes or subsequently becomes inaccurate. The past performance of securities or financial instruments is not indicative for future results. No assurance can be given that any financial instrument or issuer described herein would yield favorable investment results or that particular price levels may be reached. Forecasts in this publication are based on assumptions which are supported by objective data. However, the used forecasts are not indicative for future performance of securities or financial instrument. Erste Group, its affiliates, principals or employees may have a long or short position or may transact in the financial instrument(s) referred to herein or may trade in such financial instruments with other customers on a principal basis. Erste Group may act as a market maker in the financial instruments or companies discussed herein and may also perform or seek to perform investment services for those companies. Erste Group may act upon or use the information or conclusion contained in this publication before it is distributed to other persons. This publication is subject to the copyright of Erste Group and may not be copied, distributed or partially or in total provided or transmitted to unauthorized recipients. By accepting this publication, a recipient hereof agrees to be bound by the foregoing limitations. This document is only made to or directed at investment professionals (as that term is defined in Article 19(5) of the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 (Financial promotion) Order 2005 ("FPO")) or to persons for whom it would otherwise be lawful to distribute it. Accordingly, persons who do not have professional experience in matters relating to investments should not rely on this document.

© Erste Group Bank AG 2024. All rights reserved.

Published by: Erste Group Bank AG Group Research 1100 Vienna, Austria, Am Belvedere 1 Head Office: Wien Commercial Register No: FN 33209m Commercial Court of Vienna

Erste Group Homepage: www.erstegroup.com

